

Toroidal and level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ actions on $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ modules

Kei Miki

Department of Mathematics,
Graduate School of Science, Osaka University
Toyonaka 560, Japan

Abstract

(1) Utilizing a Braid group action on a completion of $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$, an algebra homomorphism from the toroidal algebra $U_q(sl_{n+1,tor})$ ($n \geq 2$) with fixed parameter to a completion of $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ is obtained. (2) The toroidal actions by Saito induces a level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ action on level 1 integrable highest weight modules of $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$. Another level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ action is defined by Jimbo, et al., in the case $n = 1$. Using the fact that the intertwiners of $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ modules are intertwiners of toroidal modules for an appropriate comultiplication, the relation between these two level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ actions is clarified.

I. Introduction

In [1] and [2], a quantum toroidal algebra $U_q(sl_{n+1,tor})$ is introduced. Up to now several results are obtained on this algebra. In [2] the connection between toroidal modules and an extension of the double affine Hecke algebra [3] is noticed and the Schur type duality is obtained. The vertex representations are constructed on level 1 $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ modules by Saito [4]. In [5], toroidal actions are shown to be defined on any integrable highest weight module of $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$, using the level - rank duality. Since the toroidal algebra has homomorphic images of $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ and $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$, $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ and $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ actions are defined on toroidal modules. The known $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ actions obtained in this way have level 0. (See [6].) Therefore level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ actions on $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ modules are closely related to toroidal modules. In [7][8], the level 1 $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ action on the fermionic Fock space [9] and the level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ action via the affine Hecke algebra are shown to be combined into a toroidal action. In [10], motivated by

[11], a level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ action is defined on level 1 integrable $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ modules, utilizing the intertwiners and the representation of the affine Hecke algebra.

In this paper we obtain two results on these problems. In Sect. III, utilizing a Braid group action on a completion of $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$, an algebra homomorphism from the toroidal algebra $U_q(sl_{n+1,tor})$ ($n \geq 2$) with fixed parameter to a completion of $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ is constructed. (The parameter depends on the central element.) This implies that any highest weight module of $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ is a toroidal module. This result corresponds to the fact that the algebra homomorphism from $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ to $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ by Jimbo [12] is neatly expressed in terms of the Braid group action by Lusztig [13]. In sections IV and V, assuming a triangular decomposition of the toroidal algebra, we consider the level 1 toroidal modules by Saito. Utilizing the fact that the intertwiners of $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ modules are intertwiners of toroidal modules for an appropriate comultiplication, we clarify the relation between the level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ action induced by the toroidal action and that in [10]. Note that in [11] firstly a Yangian action on level 1 integrable highest weight modules of $\widehat{sl_2}$ is constructed in terms of the currents and then the intertwining property of the vertex operators is used. Therefore our approach is closer to the original one. Clarifying the connection between our results and [5][7][8] would be interesting.

Near the completion of this work, we found that the new Braid group action (Proposition 1) is obtained in [14], where it is used in a different manner.

II. Definition of algebras

Let q be an indeterminate and set $F = \mathbf{Q}(q)$. Fix $n \geq 2$.

Let $(a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the Cartan matrix of type A_n and set $\kappa_{ij} = 1$. Let $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ [15] be the F algebra defined by the generators $E_{i,m}$, $F_{i,m}$, $h_{i,r}$, $k_i^{\pm 1}$, $C^{\pm 1}$, $D^{\pm 1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n, m \in \mathbf{Z}, r \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) and the relations,

$$C^{\pm 1} \text{central}, \quad C^{\pm 1} C^{\mp 1} = D^{\pm 1} D^{\mp 1} = 1, \quad DX_i(z)D^{-1} = X_i(z/q) \quad (X = E, F), \quad (2.1)$$

$$k_i^{\pm 1} k_i^{\mp 1} = 1, \quad D\phi_i^{\pm}(z)D^{-1} = \phi_i^{\pm}(z/q), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\phi_i^{\pm}(z)\phi_j^{\pm}(w) = \phi_j^{\pm}(w)\phi_i^{\pm}(z), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{1 - q^{-a_{ij}} C^{-1} \kappa_{ij} z/w}{1 - q^{a_{ij}} C^{-1} \kappa_{ij} z/w} \phi_i^{+}(z) \phi_j^{-}(w) = \frac{1 - q^{-a_{ij}} C \kappa_{ij} z/w}{1 - q^{a_{ij}} C \kappa_{ij} z/w} \phi_j^{-}(w) \phi_i^{+}(z), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\phi_i^{\pm}(z) E_j(w) \phi_i^{\pm}(z)^{-1} = q^{\mp a_{ij}} \frac{1 - q^{\pm a_{ij}} C^{-\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}} (\kappa_{ij} z/w)^{\pm 1}}{1 - q^{\mp a_{ij}} C^{-\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}} (\kappa_{ij} z/w)^{\pm 1}} E_j(w), \quad (2.5)$$

$$\phi_i^{\pm}(z) F_j(w) \phi_i^{\pm}(z)^{-1} = q^{\pm a_{ij}} \frac{1 - q^{\mp a_{ij}} C^{\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}} (\kappa_{ij} z/w)^{\pm 1}}{1 - q^{\pm a_{ij}} C^{\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}} (\kappa_{ij} z/w)^{\pm 1}} F_j(w), \quad (2.6)$$

$$[E_i(z), F_j(w)] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{q - q^{-1}} (\delta(Cw/z) \phi_i^{-}(z) - \delta(Cz/w) \phi_i^{+}(w)), \quad (2.7)$$

$$q^{a_{ij}}(1 - q^{-a_{ij}}\kappa_{ij}z/w)E_i(z)E_j(w) = (1 - q^{a_{ij}}\kappa_{ij}z/w)E_j(w)E_i(z), \quad (2.8)$$

$$q^{-a_{ij}}(1 - q^{a_{ij}}\kappa_{ij}z/w)F_i(z)F_j(w) = (1 - q^{-a_{ij}}\kappa_{ij}z/w)F_j(w)F_i(z), \quad (2.9)$$

For i, j such that $a_{ij} = -1$

$$E_i(z_1)E_i(z_2)E_j(w) - (q + q^{-1})E_i(z_1)E_j(w)E_i(z_2) + E_j(w)E_i(z_1)E_i(z_2) + (z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2) = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

$$F_i(z_1)F_i(z_2)F_j(w) - (q + q^{-1})F_i(z_1)F_j(w)F_i(z_2) + F_j(w)F_i(z_1)F_i(z_2) + (z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

For i, j such that $a_{ij} = 0$

$$[E_i(z), E_j(w)] = 0, \quad [F_i(z), F_j(w)] = 0, \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$E_i(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} E_{i,m}/z^m, \quad F_i(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} F_{i,m}/z^m, \\ \phi_i^\pm(z) = k_i^{\mp 1} \exp \left(\mp (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{r > 0} h_{i,\mp r} z^{\pm r} \right). \quad (2.13)$$

As is well known, this algebra is also described by the Chevalley generators $e_i, f_i, k_i^{\pm 1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) and $D^{\pm 1}$. Later we need its comultiplication Δ_0 determined by

$$\Delta_0(e_i) = e_i \otimes 1 + k_i \otimes e_i, \quad \Delta_0(f_i) = f_i \otimes k_i^{-1} + 1 \otimes f_i, \\ \Delta_0(k_i) = k_i \otimes k_i, \quad \Delta_0(D) = D \otimes D. \quad (2.14)$$

$U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ [16] is defined to be the F algebra generated by $E_{i,m}, F_{i,m}, a_{k,r}, t_k^{\pm 1}, C^{\pm 1}, D^{\pm 1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq k \leq n+1, m \in \mathbf{Z}, r \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) with the defining relations (2.1), (2.7–2.12) and the following.

$$t_k^{\pm 1} t_k^{\mp 1} = 1, \quad D \psi_k^\pm(z) D^{-1} = \psi_k^\pm(z/q), \quad (2.15)$$

$$\psi_k^\pm(z) \psi_l^\pm(w) = \psi_l^\pm(w) \psi_k^\pm(z), \quad (2.16)$$

$$\frac{1 - q^{-2} C^{-1} z/w}{1 - C^{-1} z/w} \frac{1 - q^{2\theta(k>l)} C^{-1} z/w}{1 - q^{-2\theta(k<l)} C^{-1} z/w} \psi_k^+(z) \psi_l^-(w) \\ = \frac{1 - C z/w}{1 - q^2 C z/w} \frac{1 - q^{2\theta(k>l)} C z/w}{1 - q^{-2\theta(k<l)} C z/w} \psi_l^-(w) \psi_k^+(z), \quad (2.17)$$

$$\psi_k^\pm(z) E_j(w) \psi_k^\pm(z)^{-1} = q^{\mp b_{kj}} \frac{1 - q^{\pm(k - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} b_{kj})} C^{-\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}} (z/w)^{\pm 1}}{1 - q^{\pm(k - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2} b_{kj})} C^{-\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}} (z/w)^{\pm 1}} E_j(w), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\psi_k^\pm(z) F_j(w) \psi_k^\pm(z)^{-1} = q^{\pm b_{kj}} \frac{1 - q^{\pm(k - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2} b_{kj})} C^{\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}} (z/w)^{\pm 1}}{1 - q^{\pm(k - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} b_{kj})} C^{\frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}} (z/w)^{\pm 1}} F_j(w). \quad (2.19)$$

Here $b_{kj} = \delta_{kj} - \delta_{k,j+1}$ and $\theta(\cdot)$ is a step function. In eq. (2.7), $\phi_i^\pm(z)$ should be understood as follows,

$$\phi_i^\pm(q^i z) = \psi_i^\pm(z) / \psi_{i+1}^\pm(z). \quad (2.20)$$

Let $\mu \in F^\times$ and $(a_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n}$ be the Cartan matrix of type $A_n^{(1)}$ and set $\kappa_{ij} = 1$ $((i, j) \neq (n, 0), (0, n))$, $\kappa_{n0} = \kappa_{0n}^{-1} = \mu$. Let $U_{q,\mu}(sl_{n+1,tor})$ [2] be the F algebra defined by the generators $E_{i,m}$, $F_{i,m}$, $h_{i,r}$, $k_i^{\pm 1}$, $C^{\pm 1}$, $D^{\pm 1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$, $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, $r \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$) and the relations (2.1–2.12). Note that we include only one scaling element D and its inverse among the generators. Set $\mu_0 = q^{n+1}$. For $\mu = (\mu_0 C^2)^{\pm 1}$, we also define $U_{q,(\mu_0 C^2)^{\pm 1}}(sl_{n+1,tor})$ similarly. Later we shall often use $p = \mu_0 \mu$ in stead of μ .

Hereafter we shall write U and $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ for $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ and $U_{q,\mu}(sl_{n+1,tor})$, respectively. We shall identify $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ with the subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ generated by $E_i(z)$, $F_i(z)$, $\phi_i^\pm(z)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), $C^{\pm 1}$ and $D^{\pm 1}$.

III. Homomorphism from $\mathcal{U}_{(\mu_0 C^2)^{\pm 1}}$ to a completion of $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$

3.1 Braid group action on a completion of $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$

For $k, l \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, put

$$U_{kl} = \sum_{\substack{r \geq k \\ s \geq l}} U_{-r} U U_s, \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$U_r = \{y \in U | DyD^{-1} = q^r y\}, \quad (r \in \mathbf{Z}). \quad (3.2)$$

Then U is a topological algebra which has (U_{kl}) as a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the origin and is separated thanks to the triangular decomposition of U [17]. Let us denote its completion by $\hat{U}$.

Let η be a continuous algebra anti-automorphism of $\hat{U}$ determined by

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(z) &\mapsto E_i(z^{-1}), & F_i(z) &\mapsto F_i(z^{-1}), & \phi_i^\pm(z) &\mapsto \phi_i^\mp(Cz^{-1}) \\ C^{\pm 1} &\mapsto C^{\pm 1}, & D^{\pm 1} &\mapsto D^{\pm 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Proposition 1 (1) For each i ($1 \leq i \leq n$), there exists a continuous algebra automorphism $T_i : \hat{U} \rightarrow \hat{U}$ determined by

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(z) &\mapsto -F_i(z/Cq^2)\phi_i^-(z/q^2)^{-1}, & F_i(z) &\mapsto -\phi_i^+(z/q^2)^{-1}E_i(z/Cq^2), \\ \phi_i^\pm(z) &\mapsto \phi_i^\pm(z/q^2)^{-1}, & C^{\pm 1} &\mapsto C^{\pm 1}, & D^{\pm 1} &\mapsto D^{\pm 1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
E_j(z) &\mapsto \oint \frac{du}{u} \left(E_i(u)E_j(z) - q \frac{1 - q^{-1}u/z}{1 - qu/z} E_j(z)E_i(u) \right), \\
F_j(z) &\mapsto \oint \frac{du}{u} \left(F_j(z)F_i(u) - q^{-1} \frac{1 - qz/u}{1 - q^{-1}z/u} F_i(u)F_j(z) \right), \\
\phi_j^\pm(z) &\mapsto \phi_j^\pm(z)\phi_i^\pm(z/q) \quad \text{when } a_{ij} = -1,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

$$E_j(z) \mapsto E_j(z), \quad F_j(z) \mapsto F_j(z), \quad \phi_j^\pm(z) \mapsto \phi_j^\pm(z) \quad \text{when } a_{ij} = 0 \tag{3.6}$$

Here $\oint \frac{du}{u}$ denotes the operation which picks out the coefficient of u^0 .

(2) $T_i^{-1} = \eta \circ T_i \circ \eta$.

(3) T_i 's satisfy the following relations.

$$\begin{aligned}
T_i T_j T_i &= T_j T_i T_j \quad \text{when } a_{ij} = -1, \\
T_i T_j &= T_j T_i \quad \text{when } a_{ij} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

(4) Let W be the Weyl group of sl_{n+1} and s_i be a reflection with respect to a simple root α_i . For a reduced expression $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_m} \in W$, set $T_w = T_{i_1} \cdots T_{i_m}$. If $w\alpha_k = \alpha_l$, then

$$T_w(X_k(z)) = X_l(q^{-r/2}z) \quad (X = E, F, \phi^\pm). \tag{3.8}$$

Here r is the length of the shortest element(s) of the set $\{w' \in W \mid w'\alpha_k = \alpha_l\}$.

3.2 Homomorphism from $\mathcal{U}_{(\mu_0 C^2)^{\pm 1}}$ to a completion of $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$

Similarly to U , we introduce a separated linear topology on $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ and denote its completion by $\hat{U}_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$. Utilizing the above Braid group action, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}_0^\epsilon(z) &= -T_n^\epsilon \cdots T_1^\epsilon E_1(zq^\epsilon), \quad \tilde{F}_0^\epsilon(z) = -T_n^\epsilon \cdots T_1^\epsilon F_1(zq^\epsilon), \\
\tilde{\phi}_0^{\pm, \epsilon}(z) &= T_n^\epsilon \cdots T_1^\epsilon \phi_1^\pm(zq^\epsilon), \quad (\epsilon = \pm 1).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

For $\epsilon = \pm 1$, there exists an algebra homomorphism $f_\epsilon : \mathcal{U}_{(\mu_0 C^2)^\epsilon} \rightarrow \hat{U}_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ determined by

$$\begin{aligned}
E_i(z) &\mapsto E_i(z), \quad F_i(z) \mapsto F_i(z), \quad \phi_i^\pm(z) \mapsto \phi_i^\pm(z), \quad (1 \leq i \leq n), \\
C &\mapsto C, \quad D \mapsto D, \\
E_0(z) &\mapsto \psi_{n+1}^+(z/\mu_\epsilon)^\epsilon \tilde{E}_0^\epsilon(z) \psi_{n+1}^-(Cz/\mu_\epsilon)^{-\epsilon}, \\
F_0(z) &\mapsto \psi_{n+1}^+(Cz/\mu_\epsilon)^{-\epsilon} \tilde{F}_0^\epsilon(z) \psi_{n+1}^-(z/\mu_\epsilon)^\epsilon, \\
\phi_0^\pm(z) &\mapsto \tilde{\phi}_0^{\pm, \epsilon}(z) \psi_{n+1}^\pm(z/C\mu_\epsilon)^\epsilon \psi_{n+1}^\pm(Cz/\mu_\epsilon)^{-\epsilon},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where $\mu_\epsilon = \mu_0(\mu_0 C)^\epsilon$.

Proof. As an example, we shall check the Serre relation (2.10) with $(i, j) = (0, n)$ for the case $\epsilon = 1$. Set $w = s_n \cdots s_1$. Thanks to Proposition 1 (4), we get

$$T_w^{-1} E_{n-1}(z/q) = E_n(z), \quad T_w^{-1} E_n(z/q) = -\tilde{\phi}_0^{+,+}(\mu z/C) \tilde{E}_0^+(\mu z) \tilde{\phi}_0^{-,+}(\mu z)^{-1} \quad (3.11)$$

where $\mu = \mu_0 C^2$. Therefore applying T_w^{-1} to the Serre relation (2.10) with $(i, j) = (n, n-1)$ of $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ and using the relations among $\psi_k^\pm(z)$, $E_i(z)$ and $F_i(z)$, we obtain the desired relation.

Corollary 1 *Any highest weight module of $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ on which C acts as $C_0 \in F^\times$ is a $\mathcal{U}_{(\mu_0 C_0^2)^{\pm 1}}$ module.*

IV. Intertwiners of toroidal modules

4.1 Level 1 toroidal modules by Saito

Hereafter we consider only $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ ($\mu \in F^\times$). Let $V(\Lambda_i)$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) be the irreducible highest weight module of U with highest weight Λ_i and v_{Λ_i} be its highest weight vector.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the F algebra generated by b_r ($r \in \mathbf{Z} - 0$) and $D^{\pm 1}$ with the relations

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\pm 1} D^{\mp 1} &= 1, \quad D b_r D^{-1} = q^r b_r, \\ [b_r, b_s] &= \delta_{r+s, 0} \frac{[r]^2 [(n+2)r]}{r[(n+1)r]}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $[m] = \frac{q^m - q^{-m}}{q - q^{-1}}$. Let $\mathcal{B}_-$ denote its Fock space $\mathcal{B}/I$, where I is the left ideal generated by b_r ($r > 0$) and $D - 1$. For $m \equiv j \pmod{n+1}$, set $W_m = V(\Lambda_j) \otimes \mathcal{B}_-$. Let α_i 's be the simple roots of $\widehat{sl_{n+1}}$ and $(|)$ be the standard symmetric bilinear form on the weight space of $\widehat{sl_{n+1}}$ normalized by $(\alpha_i | \alpha_j) = a_{ij}$. Set

$$\epsilon_k = \left(\sum_{i=k}^n (n+1-i) \alpha_i - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i \alpha_i \right) / (n+1), \quad (1 \leq k \leq n+1).$$

For $a \in F^\times$ and $1 \leq k \leq n+1$, let $a^{\partial_{\epsilon_k}}$ be a linear operator on W_m such that $a^{\partial_{\epsilon_k}}(v \otimes b) = a^{(\epsilon_k | \nu) + \frac{m}{n+1}} v \otimes b$, where v is a weight vector with weight ν . Then W_m is a $U_q(\widehat{gl_{n+1}})$ module by the map

$$\begin{aligned} E_i(z) &\mapsto E_i(z) \otimes 1, \quad F_i(z) \mapsto F_i(z) \otimes 1, \\ \psi_k^\pm(z) &\mapsto q^{\mp \partial_{\epsilon_k}} \exp \left(\mp (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{r>0} \hat{a}_{k, \mp r} z^{\pm r} \right), \\ C &\mapsto q, \quad D \mapsto D \otimes D, \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where $\hat{a}_{k,r} = \bar{a}_{k,r} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes b_r$ with

$$\bar{a}_{k,r} = \frac{1}{[(n+1)r]} \left(\sum_{i=k}^n [(n+1-i)r] h_{i,r} - q^{-(n+1)r} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} [ir] h_{i,r} \right). \quad (4.3)$$

The results by Saito [4] on level 1 toroidal modules can be stated as follows.

Proposition 2 *Set $a = (-1)^{n-1} q^n$.*

(1) *For $\epsilon = \pm 1$, the U module structure on $V(\Lambda_j)$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$) is extended to a $\mathcal{U}_{\mu_0^\epsilon}$ module structure by*

$$E_0(z) = a \tilde{E}_0^\epsilon(z), \quad F_0(z) = a^{-1} \tilde{F}_0^\epsilon(z), \quad \phi_0^\pm(z) = \tilde{\phi}_0^{\pm, \epsilon}(z). \quad (4.4)$$

(2) *Letting α_r ($r \neq 0$) be the elements of $F^\times$ such that*

$$\alpha_r \alpha_{-r} = \frac{\mu^r + \mu^{-r} - \mu_0^r - \mu_0^{-r}}{(q^r - q^{-r})(q^r \mu_0^r - q^{-r} \mu_0^{-r})}, \quad (4.5)$$

set

$$X^\pm(z) = \exp \left(\mp (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{r>0} x_{\mp r} z^{\pm r} \right),$$

$$x_r = (q \mu_0^2)^r \frac{(\mu/\mu_0)^r - 1}{q^{2r} - 1} \bar{a}_{n+1,r} \otimes 1 + \alpha_r 1 \otimes b_r. \quad (4.6)$$

Then the U module structure on W_m is extended to a $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ module structure by

$$E_0(z) = a X^+(z) (\tilde{E}_0^+(z) \otimes 1) X^-(qz)^{-1} (\mu_0/\mu)^{\partial_{\epsilon_{n+1}}},$$

$$F_0(z) = a^{-1} (\mu/\mu_0)^{\partial_{\epsilon_{n+1}}} X^+(qz)^{-1} (\tilde{F}_0^+(z) \otimes 1) X^-(z),$$

$$\phi_0^\pm(z) = \frac{X^\pm(q^{-1}z)}{X^\pm(qz)} (\tilde{\phi}_0^{\pm,+}(z) \otimes 1). \quad (4.7)$$

We have chosen the above normalization of $E_0(z)$ and $F_0(z)$ for a later convenience. Note that in the case $\mu = \mu_0 q^2$ the above result coincides with Theorem 1.

4.2 Level 0 toroidal modules

Set $V = F^{n+1}$ and let $v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}$ be its canonical basis. $V[x, x^{-1}]$ is a U module by the following.

$$E_i(q^i z) = \delta(z/x) E_{i+1}, \quad F_i(q^i z) = \delta(z/x) E_{i+1} i,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_i^+(q^i z) &= \sum_{k \neq i, i+1} E_{kk} + q^{-1} \frac{1 - q^2 z/x}{1 - z/x} E_{ii} + q \frac{1 - q^{-2} z/x}{1 - z/x} E_{i+1, i+1}, \\
\phi_i^-(q^i z) &= \sum_{k \neq i, i+1} E_{kk} + q \frac{1 - q^{-2} x/z}{1 - x/z} E_{ii} + q^{-1} \frac{1 - q^2 x/z}{1 - x/z} E_{i+1, i+1}, \\
C^{\pm 1} &= 1, \quad D^{\pm 1} = q^{\pm \vartheta}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Here E_{ij} 's are matrix units, $\delta(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} z^m$, x acts as the multiplication, and for $a \in F^\times$ and $v(x) \in V[x, x^{-1}]$, $a^\vartheta v(x) = v(ax)$. We shall denote this representation by (π, V_x) .

Set $p = \mu_0 \mu$. The U module structure on V_x is extended to a $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ structure [2] by

$$\begin{aligned}
E_0(z) &= ap^\vartheta \delta(z/x) E_{n+1, 1}, \quad F_0(z) = a^{-1} \delta(z/x) E_{1, n+1} p^{-\vartheta}, \\
\phi_0^+(z) &= \sum_{k \neq 1, n+1} E_{kk} + q^{-1} \frac{1 - q^2 z/px}{1 - z/px} E_{n+1, n+1} + q \frac{1 - q^{-2} z/x}{1 - z/x} E_{11}, \\
\phi_0^-(z) &= \sum_{k \neq 1, n+1} E_{kk} + q \frac{1 - q^{-2} px/z}{1 - px/z} E_{n+1, n+1} + q^{-1} \frac{1 - q^2 x/z}{1 - x/z} E_{11},
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where $a \in F^\times$. We shall denote this representation by (π_a, V_a) .

4.3 Bialgebra structure of $\mathcal{U}_\mu$

In this subsection, fixing $\mu \in F^\times$, we omit the subscript μ of $\mathcal{U}_\mu$. Define $\mathcal{U}_r$ ($r \in \mathbf{Z}$) similarly to U_r . We assume the following.

Assumption 1 (1) U is identified with the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}$ generated by $E_i(z)$, $F_i(z)$, $\phi_i^\pm(z)$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$), $C^{\pm 1}$ and $D^{\pm 1}$ by the algebra homomorphism from U to $\mathcal{U}$ determined by

$$E_i(z) \mapsto E_i(z), \quad F_i(z) \mapsto F_i(z), \quad \phi_i^\pm(z) \mapsto \phi_i^\pm(z) \quad C \mapsto C, \quad D \mapsto D. \tag{4.10}$$

(2) $\mathcal{U}$ has subalgebras $\mathcal{U}^-$, $\mathcal{U}^0$ and $\mathcal{U}^+$ such that the multiplication map $\mathcal{U}^- \otimes \mathcal{U}^0 \otimes \mathcal{U}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is an isomorphism of vector spaces and

$$U^\pm \subset \mathcal{U}^\pm = \oplus_{r \geq 0} \mathcal{U}^\pm \cap \mathcal{U}_{\pm r}, \quad U^0 \subset \mathcal{U}^0 \subset \mathcal{U}_0, \tag{4.11}$$

where U^+ , U^- and U^0 are the subalgebras of U generated by e_i ($0 \leq i \leq n$), f_i ($0 \leq i \leq n$), and $k_i^{\pm 1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$) and $D^{\pm 1}$, respectively.

For $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ setting

$$\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N} r = \{y \in \mathcal{U}^{\otimes N} \mid D^{\otimes N} y (D^{\otimes N})^{-1} = q^r y\}, \tag{4.12}$$

define $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}_{kl}$ similarly to U_{kl} . We introduce a linear topology on $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}$ by letting $(\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}_{0l})_{l \geq 0}$ be a fundamental system of neighborhoods of the origin. (Hereafter we shall simply say ‘introduce a linear topology by $(\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}_{0l})$ ’.) Then $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}$ is a separated topological algebra. We denote its completion by $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ ($N = 1$) and $\mathcal{U}^{\hat{\otimes} N}$ ($N \geq 2$). Note that for $N \geq 2$, the topology on $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}$ is equivalent to the tensor product topology.

Let $\bar{\Delta}_I$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{II}$ be the continuous algebra homomorphism from $\hat{\mathcal{U}}$ to $\mathcal{U} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{U}$ determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta}_I(C) &= \bar{\Delta}_{II}(C) = C \otimes C, & \bar{\Delta}_I(D) &= \bar{\Delta}_{II}(D) = D \otimes D, \\ \bar{\Delta}_I(\phi_i^+(z)) &= \phi_i^+(z/C_2) \otimes \phi_i^+(z), & \bar{\Delta}_I(\phi_i^-(z)) &= \phi_i^-(z) \otimes \phi_i^-(z/C_1), \\ \bar{\Delta}_I(E_i(z)) &= E_i(z) \otimes 1 + \phi_i^-(z) \otimes E_i(z/C_1), & \bar{\Delta}_I(F_i(z)) &= 1 \otimes F_i(z) + F_i(z/C_2) \otimes \phi_i^+(z), \\ \bar{\Delta}_{II}(\phi_i^+(z)) &= \phi_i^+(z/C_2) \otimes \phi_i^+(z), & \bar{\Delta}_{II}(\phi_i^-(z)) &= \phi_i^-(z) \otimes \phi_i^-(z/C_1), \\ \bar{\Delta}_{II}(E_i(z)) &= E_i(z) \otimes 1 + \phi_i^+(Cz) \otimes E_i(C_1z), & \bar{\Delta}_{II}(F_i(z)) &= 1 \otimes F_i(z) + F_i(C_2z) \otimes \phi_i^-(Cz), \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

where $C_1 = C \otimes 1$ and $C_2 = 1 \otimes C$. Let further $\epsilon : \hat{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow F$ denote the continuous algebra homomorphism determined by

$$\epsilon(E_i(z)) = \epsilon(F_i(z)) = 0, \quad \epsilon(\phi_i^\pm(z)) = \epsilon(C) = \epsilon(D) = 1. \quad (4.14)$$

Here F is given a discrete topology. Then $(\hat{\mathcal{U}}, \bar{\Delta}_i, \epsilon)$ ($i = I, II$) are bialgebras. These are straightforward generalizations of the bialgebra structures found by Drinfeld for $\hat{U}$. Let $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}^+ \mathcal{R}^0 \mathcal{R}^-$ be the Gauss decomposition of the universal R matrix of U with Δ_0 as a comultiplication [18]. Letting

$$\mathcal{F}_I = \mathcal{R}^-, \quad \mathcal{F}_{II} = \sigma(\mathcal{R}^+)^{-1}, \quad (\sigma(a \otimes b) = b \otimes a), \quad (4.15)$$

set

$$\Delta_i(\cdot) = \mathcal{F}_i^{-1} \bar{\Delta}_i(\cdot) \mathcal{F}_i, \quad (i = I, II). \quad (4.16)$$

It is known [18] that $\Delta_I(y) = \Delta_{II}(y) = \Delta_0(y)$ for $y \in U$ and that $(\hat{\mathcal{U}}, \Delta_i, \epsilon)$ ($i = I, II$) are bialgebras. Set $\Delta_I^{op} = \sigma \circ \Delta_I$ and $\mathcal{F}_I^{op} = \sigma(\mathcal{F}_I)$. For $(\Delta, \mathcal{F}) = (\Delta_I^{op}, \mathcal{F}_I^{op})$, $(\Delta_{II}, \mathcal{F}_{II})$, etc., we define $\Delta^{(N)} : \hat{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\hat{\otimes} N+1}$ ($N \geq 1$) by

$$\Delta^{(1)} = \Delta, \quad \Delta^{(N)} = (\Delta \hat{\otimes} 1^{\hat{\otimes} N-1}) \circ \Delta^{(N-1)} \quad (N \geq 2). \quad (4.17)$$

and $\mathcal{F}^{(N)} \in \mathcal{U}^{\hat{\otimes} N}$ ($N \geq 2$) by

$$\mathcal{F}^{(2)} = \mathcal{F}, \quad \mathcal{F}^{(N)} = (\mathcal{F}^{(2)})_{12} (\Delta_I^{op} \hat{\otimes} 1^{\hat{\otimes} N-2}) \mathcal{F}^{(N-1)}, \quad (N \geq 3). \quad (4.18)$$

Then the following holds.

$$\Delta^{(N-1)}(y) = \mathcal{F}^{(N)-1} \bar{\Delta}^{(N-1)}(y) \mathcal{F}^{(N)}, \quad (y \in \mathcal{U}). \quad (4.19)$$

Later we need the following property of $\mathcal{F}_I^{op}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{II}$ [18]. Set $U^{\geq 0} = U^0 U^+$ and $U^{\leq 0} = U^- U^0$. Set further $\bar{Q} = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z} \alpha_i$, $\bar{Q}_+ = -\bar{Q}_- = \oplus_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \alpha_i \setminus \{0\}$ and $\delta = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i$. For $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \bar{Q}$, define

$$U_{m\delta+\lambda}^a = \{y \in U^a \mid DyD^{-1} = q^m y, \quad k_i y k_i^{-1} = q^{(\alpha_i|\lambda)} y, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)\},$$

where $a = \geq 0, \leq 0$. Let $M_{\pm}$ be the closure of $\sum_{m \geq 0} \sum_{\lambda \in \bar{Q}_{\pm}} U_{-(m\delta+\lambda)}^{\leq 0} \otimes U_{m\delta+\lambda}^{\geq 0}$. Then

$$\mathcal{F}_I^{op} - 1 \in M_-, \quad \mathcal{F}_{II} - 1 \in M_+. \quad (4.20)$$

For $N \geq 2$ and $m \geq 0$, set

$$\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}[m] = \sum_{\sum_{i=2}^N (i-1)m_i \geq m} \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{m_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}_{m_N}. \quad (4.21)$$

Let $\widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}$ ($N \geq 2$) be the F algebra $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}$ on which a linear topology is introduced by $(\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}[m])$. Then $\widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}$ is a separated topological algebra. We denote its completion by $\widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}$.

Lemma 1 (1) Let τ be the identity map from $\widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}$ to $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}$. Let further $\hat{\tau} : \widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\hat{\otimes} N}$ be the continuous extension of the continuous algebra homomorphism τ . Then $\hat{\tau}$ is injective.

(2) If we identify $\widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}$ with a subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}^{\hat{\otimes} N}$ via the map $\hat{\tau}$, then the following holds.

$$\Delta_I^{op(N-1)}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}, \quad \Delta_{II}^{(N-1)}(\mathcal{U}) \subset \widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}. \quad (4.22)$$

Proof. (1) For $l \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, set

$$M_l = \sum_{\sum l_i = l} \mathcal{U}^{-\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{U}^{0 \otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{U}_{l_1}^+ \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{U}_{l_N}^+. \quad (4.23)$$

Under the identification of $\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}$ with $\mathcal{U}^{-\otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{U}^{0 \otimes N} \otimes \mathcal{U}^{+\otimes N}$, the following holds.

$$\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}[m] = \oplus_l (\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}[m] \cap M_l), \quad \mathcal{U}_{0m}^{\otimes N} = \oplus_{l \geq m} M_l. \quad (4.24)$$

From this, we obtain

$$\cap_{0l} (\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}[m] + \mathcal{U}_{0l}^{\otimes N}) = \mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}[m]. \quad (4.25)$$

Utilizing the last equality, it is easy to show that any Cauchy sequence in $\widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}$ which converges to 0 in $\mathcal{U}^{\hat{\otimes} N}$ converges to 0 in $\widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}$.

(2) The claim can be easily checked for $\bar{\Delta}_I^{op}$ and $\bar{\Delta}_{II}$. Since thanks to (4.20) $\mathcal{F}_I^{op(N)}, \mathcal{F}_{II}^{(N)} \in \widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}$, we obtain the claim.

Let $\mathcal{W}_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$) be $\mathcal{U}$ modules such that

$$\mathcal{W}_i = \oplus_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{W}_{i,m}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{i,m} = \{w \in \mathcal{W}_i \mid Dw = q^m w\}, \quad (4.26)$$

and set $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{W}_N$. For $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ setting

$$\mathcal{W}[m] = \sum_{\sum_{i=2}^N (i-1)m_i \geq m} \mathcal{W}_1 \otimes \mathcal{W}_{2,m_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{W}_{N,m_N}, \quad (4.27)$$

introduce a separated linear topology on $\mathcal{W}$ by $(\mathcal{W}[m])$. Then $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$, the completion of $\mathcal{W}$, is a topological $\widehat{\mathcal{U}^{\otimes N}}$ module. Therefore, thanks to Lemma 1, $\hat{\mathcal{W}}$ is a $\mathcal{U}$ module via the comultiplication Δ_I^{op} or Δ_{II} . Note that $\hat{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W}$ in the case $N = 2$ and $\mathcal{W}_{N,m} = \{0\}$ ($m \gg 0$).

4.4 Intertwiners of toroidal modules

Let $\Phi^{(j)}$ and $\Psi^{(j)}$ ($0 \leq j \leq n$) denote the intertwiners of U modules determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{(j)} : V(\Lambda_j) \otimes V_x &\rightarrow V(\Lambda_{j+1}), & \Psi^{(j)} : V_x \otimes V(\Lambda_j) &\rightarrow V(\Lambda_{j+1}), \\ \Phi^{(j)}(v_{\Lambda_j} \otimes v_{j+1}) &= v_{\Lambda_{j+1}}, & \Psi^{(j)}(v_{j+1} \otimes v_{\Lambda_j}) &= v_{\Lambda_{j+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

where $V(\Lambda_j) \otimes V_x$ and $V_x \otimes V(\Lambda_j)$ are U modules via the comultiplication Δ_0 and Λ_{n+1} should be understood as Λ_0 .

As usual we define their components by

$$\Phi_{k,m}^{(j)} u = \Phi^{(j)}(u \otimes v_k x^m), \quad \Psi_{k,m}^{(j)} u = \Psi^{(j)}(v_k x^m \otimes u), \quad (4.29)$$

and set

$$\Phi_k^{(j)}(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \Phi_{k,m}^{(j)} z^{-m}, \quad \Psi_k^{(j)}(z) = \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}} \Psi_{k,m}^{(j)} z^{-m}. \quad (4.30)$$

Proposition 3 *For $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, set $a_m = q^{2m}$. In the following, give $V(\Lambda_j) \otimes V_a$ and $W_m \otimes V_a$ (resp. $V_a \otimes V(\Lambda_j)$ and $V_a \otimes W_m$) toroidal module structures via the comultiplication Δ_I (resp. Δ_{II}).*

(1) *For $0 \leq j \leq n$, $\Phi^{(j)} : V(\Lambda_j) \otimes V_{a_j} \rightarrow V(\Lambda_{j+1})$ and $\Psi^{(j)} : V_{a_j} \otimes V(\Lambda_j) \rightarrow V(\Lambda_{j+1})$ are intertwiners of $\mathcal{U}_{\mu_0}$ modules.*

(2) *Let $p = \mu_0 \mu \neq 1$. Setting*

$$Y^{\pm}(z) = \exp \left(\mp (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{r > 0} \frac{p^{\frac{r \pm r}{2}}}{1 - p^r} \alpha_{\mp r} b_{\mp r} z^{\pm r} \right), \quad (4.31)$$

put

$$\Xi(z) = Y^+(qz)Y^-(z), \quad \Sigma(z) = Y^+(z)Y^-(qz). \quad (4.32)$$

For $m = (n+1)s + j$ ($s \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq j \leq n$), define $\tilde{\Phi}^{(m)} : W_m \otimes V_{a_m/p^s} \rightarrow W_{m+1}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}^{(m)} : V_{a_m/p^s} \otimes W_m \rightarrow W_{m+1}$ by the generating series

$$\tilde{\Phi}_k^{(m)}(z) = \Phi_k^{(j)}(z) \otimes \Xi(z) \text{ and } \tilde{\Psi}_k^{(m)}(z) = \Psi_k^{(j)}(z) \otimes \Sigma(z), \quad (4.33)$$

respectively. Here $\tilde{\Phi}_k^{(m)}(z)$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_k^{(m)}(z)$ are defined similarly to $\Phi_k^{(j)}(z)$ and $\Psi_k^{(j)}(z)$. Then $\tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}$ and $\tilde{\Psi}^{(m)}$ are intertwiners of $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ modules.

Proof. We consider the case $\tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}$. Give $W_m \otimes V_a$ (resp. $V(\Lambda_j) \otimes V_x$) a $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ (resp. U) module structure via the comultiplication $\tilde{\Delta}_I$. Set $\check{\Phi}^{(m)} = \tilde{\Phi}^{(m)} \mathcal{F}_I^{-1}$ and $\bar{\Phi}^{(j)} = \Phi^{(j)} \mathcal{F}_I^{-1}$. Then $\check{\Phi}_k^{(m)}(z) = \bar{\Phi}_k^{(j)}(z) \otimes \Xi(z)$. Utilizing the explicit expression of the intertwiner $\bar{\Phi}^{(j)} : V(\Lambda_j) \otimes V_x \rightarrow V(\Lambda_{j+1})$ in terms of bosons [19], it is straightforward to show $\check{\Phi}^{(m)} : W_m \otimes V_{a_m/p^s} \rightarrow W_{m+1}$ is an intertwiner of $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ modules. The claim follows from this.

V. Two level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ actions

5.1 Completions of $V_x^{\otimes N}$

Following [10], we introduce two completions of $V_x^{\otimes N}$ and the maps from them to W_N .

For $m \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $\mathcal{V}_N[m]$ signify $V_x^{\otimes N}[m]$ in subsection 4.3 and set

$$\mathcal{V}_N[[m]] = \text{span}\{v_{\epsilon_1} x^{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\epsilon_N} x^{m_N} \mid \max\{m_1, \dots, m_N\} \geq m\}. \quad (5.1)$$

Introduce two linear topologies on the vector space $V_x^{\otimes N}$ by $(\mathcal{V}_N[m])$ and by $(\mathcal{V}_N[[m]])$. We denote the thus obtained separated topological vector spaces by $\mathcal{V}'_N$ and $\mathcal{V}_N$, respectively, and let $\hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N$ signify their completions. Let ι_N be the identity map from $\mathcal{V}'_N$ to $\mathcal{V}_N$. Let further $\hat{\iota}_N : \hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}_N$ denote the continuous extension of the continuous linear map ι_N . (This map is shown to be injective as in Lemma 1.) Note that our completions are a little bit different from those in [10].

Set

$$v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \sum_{m_i} v_{\epsilon_1} x^{m_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\epsilon_N} x^{m_N} z_1^{-m_1} \cdots z_N^{-m_N}. \quad (5.2)$$

Let $\mathcal{N}'_N$ (resp. $\mathcal{N}_N$) be the closure in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N$ (resp. $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N$) of the span of the coefficients of the following generating series,

$$v_{\dots, \epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}, \dots}(\cdots, z_i, z_{i+1}, \cdots) - (1 - q^2) \frac{(z_i/z_{i+1})^{\theta(\epsilon_i < \epsilon_{i+1})}}{1 - q^2 z_i/z_{i+1}} v_{\dots, \epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}, \dots}(\cdots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \cdots)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +q \frac{1 - z_i/z_{i+1}}{1 - q^2 z_i/z_{i+1}} v_{\dots, \epsilon_{i+1}, \epsilon_i, \dots}(\dots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \dots), \quad (\epsilon_i \neq \epsilon_{i+1}), \\
& v_{\dots, \epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}, \dots}(\dots, z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots) + q^2 \frac{1 - q^{-2} z_i/z_{i+1}}{1 - q^2 z_i/z_{i+1}} v_{\dots, \epsilon_{i+1}, \epsilon_i, \dots}(\dots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \dots), \\
& \hspace{25em} (\epsilon_i = \epsilon_{i+1}),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq N-1$. Then $\mathcal{N}_N = \overline{\hat{\iota}_N(\mathcal{N}'_N)}$.

Give W_N a discrete topology. Set $|0\rangle = v_{\Lambda_0} \otimes 1 \in W_0$. Let $\rho'_N : \mathcal{V}'_N \rightarrow W_N$ and $\rho_N : \mathcal{V}_N \rightarrow W_N$ be the linear maps defined by

$$v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N) \mapsto \tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon_1}^{(N-1)}(z_1) \cdots \tilde{\Phi}_{\epsilon_N}^{(0)}(z_N) |0\rangle \prod_{0 \leq k \leq N-1} z_{N-k}^{s_k} / \prod_{i < j} \eta(z_j/z_i), \tag{5.4}$$

where s_k is the integer part of $k/(n+1)$ and

$$\eta(z) = \frac{(q^2 p z; p)_\infty}{(p z; p)_\infty}, \quad (z; p)_\infty = \prod_{m=0}^{\infty} (1 - p^m z). \tag{5.5}$$

Thanks to the commutation relations among the intertwiners $\tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}$, both maps ρ'_N and ρ_N are continuous and extend to the continuous linear maps $\hat{\rho}'_N : \hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N \rightarrow W_N$ and $\hat{\rho}_N : \hat{\mathcal{V}}_N \rightarrow W_N$, respectively. Moreover the latter maps induce $\hat{\rho}'_N : \hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N/\mathcal{N}'_N \rightarrow W_N$ and $\hat{\rho}_N : \hat{\mathcal{V}}_N/\mathcal{N}_N \rightarrow W_N$.

5.2 Level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ actions by Jimbo, et al.

Let $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ be the subalgebra of $U_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ generated by e_i , f_i and $k_i^{\pm 1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$). Set $U'_{c=0} = U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}}) / \langle k_0 \cdots k_n - 1 \rangle$ and give this algebra a discrete topology. $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N$ is given a topological $U'_{c=0}$ module structure by the map

$$\begin{aligned}
e_0 & \mapsto q^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{Y}_j^{-1} 1^{\otimes j-1} \otimes E_{n+1,1} \otimes (q^{E_{n+1,n+1}-E_{1,1}})^{\otimes N-j}, \\
f_0 & \mapsto q^{-(N-1)} \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{Y}_j (q^{E_{1,1}-E_{n+1,n+1}})^{\otimes j-1} \otimes E_{1,n+1} \otimes 1^{\otimes N-j}, \\
k_0 & \mapsto (q^{E_{n+1,n+1}-E_{1,1}})^{\otimes N}, \\
y & \mapsto (\pi \otimes \cdots \otimes \pi) \Delta_0^{op(N-1)}(y), \quad y = e_i, f_i, k_i, \quad (1 \leq i \leq n)
\end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

where $\hat{Y}_j^{\pm 1}$ is a continuous linear operator on $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N$ defined via the action of the affine Hecke algebra on Laurent polynomials. See [10] for further details. It is further shown that the above $U'_{c=0}$ action on $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N$ induces the $U'_{c=0}$ action on $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N/\mathcal{N}_N$.

In the case $\mu = \mu_0$, we replace $\tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}$ and W_N by $\Phi^{(i)}$ and $V(\Lambda_j)$ ($i \equiv m, j \equiv N \bmod n+1$) in (5.4). We denote the thus obtained maps by the same letters ρ'_N , etc.. Set $\hat{\mathcal{V}} = \bigoplus_{N \geq 1} \hat{\mathcal{V}}_N$ and $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n V(\Lambda_j)$. Let $\hat{\rho} : \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ signify the surjective linear map obtained from $\hat{\rho}_N$'s. In [10], by showing that $\text{Ker} \hat{\rho}$ is $U'_{c=0}$ invariant, a $U'_{c=0}$ action is defined on $\mathcal{H}$ so that $\hat{\rho}$ is a homomorphism of $U'_{c=0}$ modules.

5.3 Level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ actions induced by the toroidal actions

Let $S_N : \hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N$ be the homeomorphic linear map defined by

$$v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N) \mapsto v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N) / \prod_{i < j} \eta(z_j / z_i). \quad (5.7)$$

Let us define $\sigma_N : \mathcal{U}_\mu \rightarrow \text{End}_F(\hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N)$ by

$$\sigma_N(y) = S_N^{-1} \circ (\pi_{a_{N-1}} \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} \pi_{a_0}) \Delta_I^{op(N-1)}(y) \circ S_N, \quad y \in \mathcal{U}_\mu. \quad (5.8)$$

Then thanks to Lemma 1, $\hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N$ is a $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ module by the map σ_N . (Utilizing Lemma A.3 and (4.19), $\mathcal{N}'_N$ is shown to be $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ invariant.)

The $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ modules $\hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N$ and W_N are topological $U'_{c=0}$ modules via the algebra homomorphism from $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ to $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ determined by $e_i \mapsto E_{i,0}$, $f_i \mapsto F_{i,0}$, $k_i \mapsto k_i$. For these two $U'_{c=0}$ modules, we have the following.

Proposition 4 *The map $\hat{\rho}'_N : \hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N \rightarrow W_N$ is a homomorphism of $U'_{c=0}$ modules.*

Proof. Set $\tilde{\Phi}^{op(m)} = \tilde{\Phi}^{(m)} \circ \sigma$, $(\sigma(v \otimes w) = w \otimes v)$. The linear map $1^{\otimes N-m-1} \otimes \tilde{\Phi}^{op(m)} : V_{a_{N-1}/p^{s_{N-1}}} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{a_m/p^{s_m}} \otimes W_m \rightarrow V_{a_{N-1}/p^{s_{N-1}}} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{a_{m+1}/p^{s_{m+1}}} \otimes W_{m+1}$ extends to the map between the completions and the latter map is shown to be an intertwiner of $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ modules. Let $\mathcal{F}^{(N)} = \mathcal{F}_I^{op(N)}$. From $(1^{\hat{\otimes} N} \hat{\otimes} \epsilon) \mathcal{F}^{(N+1)} = \mathcal{F}^{(N)}$ and (4.20), we get $\mathcal{F}^{(N+1) \pm 1}(u \otimes |0\rangle) = (\mathcal{F}^{(N) \pm 1} u) \otimes |0\rangle$, ($u \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N$). Moreover $E_{0,m}|0\rangle = F_{0,m}|0\rangle = 0$ ($m \geq 0$) and $\phi_0^\pm(z)|0\rangle = |0\rangle$. Hence the continuous map from $\hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N$ to the completion of $V_{a_{N-1}} \otimes \dots \otimes V_{a_0} \otimes W_0$ defined by $u \mapsto S_N(u) \otimes |0\rangle$ is $U'_{c=0}$ linear. From these we obtain the claim.

5.4 Relation between two level 0 $U'_q(\widehat{sl_{n+1}})$ actions

Lemma 2 *Let X_N denote the span of the vectors $v_{\epsilon_1} x^{m_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\epsilon_N} x^{m_N}$ ($\epsilon_1 \leq \dots \leq \epsilon_N, m_i \in \mathbf{Z}$). Then $X_N + \mathcal{N}'_N / \mathcal{N}'_N$ is dense in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N / \mathcal{N}'_N$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show $\hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N = \overline{X_N + \mathcal{N}'_N}$. Therefore the claim follows if we show the following.

$$v_{\epsilon_1} x^{m_1} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\epsilon_N} x^{m_N} \in \overline{X_N + \mathcal{N}'_N}. \quad (5.9)$$

Let $\epsilon_i < \epsilon_{i+1}$ and Y_N be the span of the coefficients of $v_{\dots, \epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}, \dots}(\dots, z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots)$. Equation (5.3) implies that the coefficients of

$$(1 - (z_i/z_{i+1})^m) v_{\dots, \epsilon_{i+1}, \epsilon_i, \dots}(\dots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \dots)$$

belong to $Y_N + \mathcal{N}'_N$ for any integer m . Hence the coefficients of $v_{\dots, \epsilon_{i+1}, \epsilon_i, \dots}(\dots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \dots)$ belong to $\overline{Y_N + \mathcal{N}'_N}$. Using the last argument repeatedly, we can show (5.9).

Lemma 3 *Let $\tilde{\iota}_N : \hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N / \mathcal{N}'_N \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}_N / \mathcal{N}_N$ be the map induced by $\hat{\iota}_N$. For $\epsilon_1 \leq \dots \leq \epsilon_N$ and $y = e_i, f_i, k_i^{\pm 1}$ ($0 \leq i \leq n$), the following holds.*

$$\tilde{\iota}_N(y v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N)) = y \tilde{\iota}_N(v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N)). \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. The above equality clearly holds except for e_0 and f_0 . The case e_0 is shown in Appendix A and the case f_0 is similarly proven.

Since $\tilde{\iota}_N$ is continuous, the above two lemmas prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5 *The map $\tilde{\iota}_N : \hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N / \mathcal{N}'_N \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{V}}_N / \mathcal{N}_N$ is a homomorphism of $U'_{c=0}$ modules.*

From Propositions 4 and 5, we can show the following.

Theorem 2 *The map $\hat{\rho}_N : \hat{\mathcal{V}}_N \rightarrow W_N$ is a homomorphism of $U'_{c=0}$ modules.*

Proof. Since $\tilde{\rho}_N \circ \tilde{\iota}_N = \tilde{\rho}'_N$, $\tilde{\rho}_N(yw) = y\tilde{\rho}_N(w)$ for $y \in U'_{c=0}$ and $w \in \text{Im } \tilde{\iota}_N$. $\text{Im } \tilde{\iota}_N$ is dense in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N / \mathcal{N}_N$; $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N / \mathcal{N}_N$ and W_N are separated topological $U'_{c=0}$ modules; $\tilde{\rho}_N$ is continuous. Therefore $\tilde{\rho}_N$ is a homomorphism of $U'_{c=0}$ modules.

From Proposition 3 (1), we obtain the following.

Corollary 2 *In the case $\mu = \mu_0$ ($p = \mu_0^2$), the map $\hat{\rho} : \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^n V(\Lambda_j)$ defined in subsection 5.2 is a surjective homomorphism of $U'_{c=0}$ modules.*

Appendix A. Proof of Lemma 3

The following is immediate. See [10] for (3) and (4).

Lemma A.1 *For $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$, let K_I be the set of generating series*

$$w(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \sum w_{m_1, \dots, m_N} z_1^{-m_1} \dots z_N^{-m_N} \text{ such that } w_{m_1, \dots, m_N} \in \overline{\mathcal{V}_N[[M]]},$$

where $M = \max\{m_i\}_{i \in I}$. For $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, let $A_{I,k}$ denote the algebra of formal power series in the variables z_i/z_k ($i \in I \setminus \{k\}$).

(1) $A_{I,k} K_I \subset K_{I \setminus \{k\}}$. Moreover $A_{I,k}$ acts on K_I when $k \notin I$.

(2) Let $i, j \in I$, $k \in \{1, \dots, N\} \setminus I$ and $w \in K_I$. Let further $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be formal power series in z . Then for $(x, y) = (z_i/z_j, z_i/z_k)$ and $(z_i/z_j, z_j/z_k)$, the following holds.

$$f(x)(g(y)w) = g(y)(f(x)w) = (f(x)g(y))w.$$

(3) Let K_{ij} be the operator which interchanges z_i and z_j , and set $\xi_{ij} = q^{-1} + (q - q^{-1})\frac{z_j}{z_i - z_j}(K_{ij} - 1)$. Then $\xi_{ij}^{\pm 1}K_I \subset K_I$ if $i, j \in I$.

(4) Let $i, j \in I$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, N\} \setminus I$. If $f \in A_{I,k}$ satisfies $f\xi_{ij} = \xi_{ij}f$ when acted on Laurent polynomials in z_l ($1 \leq l \leq N$), then $f\xi_{ij}|_{K_I} = \xi_{ij}f|_{K_I}$.

Lemma A.2 Let L be the set of generating series

$$w(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \sum w_{m_1, \dots, m_N} z_1^{-m_1} \dots z_N^{-m_N} \text{ such that } w_{m_1, \dots, m_N} \in \overline{\mathcal{V}_N[M]},$$

where $M = \sum_{i=2}^N (i-1)m_i$.

(1) Let B be the algebra of formal power series in the variables z_{i+1}/z_i ($1 \leq i < N$). Then B acts on L .

(2) Set $u_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \mathcal{F}_I^{op(N)-1} v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N)$. Then $u_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in L$ for any ϵ_i and $u_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N) = v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N)$ when $\epsilon_1 \leq \dots \leq \epsilon_N$.

Proof. (1) is immediate. (2) follow from (4.20).

Lemma A.3 Let $\mathcal{N}'_N{}^{\mathcal{F}}$ be the closure in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N$ of the span of the coefficients of the following generating series,

$$\begin{aligned} & v_{\dots, \epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}, \dots}(\dots, z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots) + q \frac{1 - z_i/z_{i+1}}{1 - q^2 z_i/z_{i+1}} v_{\dots, \epsilon_{i+1}, \epsilon_i, \dots}(\dots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \dots), \\ & \hspace{25em} (\epsilon_i < \epsilon_{i+1}), \\ & v_{\dots, \epsilon_i, \epsilon_{i+1}, \dots}(\dots, z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots) + q^2 \frac{1 - q^{-2} z_i/z_{i+1}}{1 - q^2 z_i/z_{i+1}} v_{\dots, \epsilon_{i+1}, \epsilon_i, \dots}(\dots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \dots), \\ & \hspace{25em} (\epsilon_i = \epsilon_{i+1}), \quad (\text{A1}) \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i \leq N-1$. Then $\mathcal{F}^{op(N)} \mathcal{N}'_N = \mathcal{N}'_N{}^{\mathcal{F}}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}^{(N)}$ signify $\mathcal{F}_I^{op(N)}$. Firstly we consider the case $N = 2$. Let $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2$. From the definition we get

$$v_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(z_1, z_2) + q \frac{1 - z_2/z_1}{1 - q^2 z_2/z_1} v_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}(z_1, z_2) + (z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2) \in \mathcal{N}'_N{}^{\mathcal{F}}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

This implies

$$\frac{z_2}{1 - z_2/z_1} v_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(z_1, z_2) + \frac{q z_2}{1 - q^2 z_2/z_1} v_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}(z_1, z_2) - (z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2) \in \mathcal{N}'_N{}^{\mathcal{F}}. \quad (\text{A3})$$

From this and the equality

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}^{(2)}v_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(z_1, z_2) &= v_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(z_1, z_2), \quad (\epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2), \\ &= v_{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2}(z_1, z_2) - (q - q^{-1}) \frac{z_2/z_1}{1 - z_2/z_1} v_{\epsilon_2, \epsilon_1}(z_1, z_2), \quad (\epsilon_1 > \epsilon_2),\end{aligned}\tag{A4}$$

we can show that the case $N = 2$ holds. The case $N > 2$ follows from the fact that $\mathcal{N}'_2$ is U invariant and the equality

$$\mathcal{F}^{(N)} = \mathcal{F}_{k, k+1}^{(2)} (1^{\hat{\otimes} k-1} \hat{\otimes} \Delta_I^{op} \hat{\otimes} 1^{\hat{\otimes} N-k-1}) \mathcal{F}^{(N-1)}.\tag{A5}$$

Hereafter we shall let $\equiv$ denote the equality in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N \bmod \mathcal{N}_N$.

Lemma A.4 *The action of e_0 on the $U'_{c=0}$ module $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N$ and that of $E_{0,0}$ on the $\mathcal{U}_\mu$ module $\hat{\mathcal{V}}'_N$ satisfy the following relations.*

$$\begin{aligned}(1) \quad & e_0 v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N) \\ & \equiv (-q)^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{\epsilon_j, 1} (-1)^{j-1} q^{-\sum_{j < i \leq N} \delta_{\epsilon_i, 1}} \xi_{j-1} \dots \xi_{1j} \\ & \quad \times v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_j, \dots, \epsilon_N, n+1}(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_N, z_j/p). \\ (2) \quad & \text{For } \epsilon_1 \leq \dots \leq \epsilon_N, \\ & \quad \iota_N(E_{0,0} v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N)) \\ & \equiv (-q)^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{\epsilon_j, 1} (-q^{-1})^{j-1} q^{-\sum_{j < i \leq N} \delta_{\epsilon_i, 1}} \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{1 - q^2 z_j/z_i}{1 - z_j/z_i} \prod_{i=j+1}^N \left(\frac{1 - q^2 z_i/z_j}{1 - z_i/z_j} \right)^{\delta_{\epsilon_i, 1}} \\ & \quad \times v_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \hat{\epsilon}_j, \dots, \epsilon_N, n+1}(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_N, z_j/p).\end{aligned}\tag{A6}$$

Here $\hat{}$ denotes the omission of variables.

Proof. (1) follows from the definition of $\hat{Y}_j^{-1}$ [10], (5.3) and Lemma A.1.

(2) Set $f(z) = q^{-1} \frac{1 - q^2 z}{1 - z}$. Set further $g_\epsilon(z) = q \frac{1 - z}{1 - q^2 z}$ for $1 \leq \epsilon \leq n$ and $= q^2 \frac{1 - q^{-2} z}{1 - q^2 z}$ for $\epsilon = n + 1$. Then thanks to (4.19) we get

$$\begin{aligned}E_{0,0} u_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_N) &= q^{N-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \prod_{i=1}^{j-1} f(z_j/z_i) \prod_{i=j+1}^N f(z_i/z_j)^{\delta_{\epsilon_i, 1}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=j+1}^N g_{\epsilon_i}(p z_i/z_j) u_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{j-1}, n+1, \epsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_j/p, \dots, z_N),\end{aligned}\tag{A8}$$

for any ϵ_i . Since ι_N is continuous, Lemmas A.2 and A.3 imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=j+1}^N g_{\epsilon_i}(pz_i/z_j) \iota_N(u_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{j-1}, n+1, \epsilon_{j+1}, \dots, \epsilon_N}(z_1, \dots, z_j/p, \dots z_N)) \\ \equiv & (-1)^{N-j} \iota_N(u_{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_j, \dots, \epsilon_N, n+1}(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots z_N, z_j/p)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A9})$$

In the case $\epsilon_1 \leq \dots \leq \epsilon_N$, thanks to Lemma A.2 (2), multiplying the above equation by $\prod_{i=1}^{j-1} f(z_j/z_i) \prod_{i=j+1}^N f(z_i/z_j)^{\delta_{\epsilon_i, 1}}$ is meaningful. Hence we obtain the claim.

Therefore (5.10) with $y = e_0$ follows from the following lemma with $w(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \underbrace{v_{1, \dots, 1, \epsilon_{s+1}, \dots, \epsilon_N, n+1}}_{s-1}(z_1, \dots z_N)$.

Lemma A.5 *Let $1 \leq t \leq s \leq N$ and $w(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in K_{\{t, \dots, N\}}$. If the coefficients of the generating series*

$$w(z_1, \dots, z_i, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_N) + q^2 \frac{1 - q^{-2} z_i/z_{i+1}}{1 - q^2 z_i/z_{i+1}} w(z_1, \dots, z_{i+1}, z_i, \dots, z_N), \quad (t \leq i \leq s-2)$$

belong to $\mathcal{N}_N$, then the following equality holds in $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_N$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=t}^s (-q)^{j-t} \xi_{j-1j} \dots \xi_{tj} w(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_N, z_j/p) \\ \equiv & \sum_{j=t}^s (-1)^{j-t} \prod_{i=t}^{j-1} \frac{1 - q^2 z_j/z_i}{1 - z_j/z_i} \prod_{i=j+1}^s \frac{1 - q^2 z_i/z_j}{1 - z_i/z_j} w(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_N, z_j/p). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

Proof. This can be shown by induction on $s - t$. Thanks to Lemma A.1, the left hand side is rewritten as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & w(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_t, \dots, z_N, z_t/p) + (1 - q^2) \sum_{j=t+1}^s (-q)^{j-t-1} \xi_{j-1j} \dots \xi_{t+1j} \\ & \times \frac{z_j/z_t}{1 - z_j/z_t} w(z_1, \dots, z_{t-1}, z_j, z_{t+1}, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_N, z_t/p) \\ & - \frac{1}{\prod_{i>t} \eta(z_i/z_t)} \sum_{j=t+1}^s (-q)^{j-t-1} \xi_{j-1j} \dots \xi_{t+1j} \bar{w}(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_N, z_j/p), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A11})$$

where

$$\bar{w}(z_1, \dots, z_N) = \prod_{i>t} \eta(z_i/z_t) \times w(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in K_{\{t+1, \dots, N\}}. \quad (\text{A12})$$

For $t+1 \leq i < j \leq s$, thanks to Lemma A.1 (2), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi_{ij} \frac{z_j/z_t}{1 - z_j/z_t} w(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_t, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_j, z_i, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_N, z_t/p) \\ \equiv & -q^{-1} \frac{1 - q^2 z_i/z_t}{1 - z_i/z_t} \frac{z_j/z_t}{1 - z_j/z_t} w(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_t, \dots, z_i, z_j, z_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_N, z_t/p). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A13})$$

Since the action of $\xi_{j-1j} \cdots \xi_{i+1j}$ on both hand sides of the above is well defined, we get the following equality.

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi_{j-1j} \cdots \xi_{ij} \frac{z_j/z_t}{1 - z_j/z_t} w(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_t, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_j, z_i, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_N, z_t/p) \\ \equiv & -q^{-1} \frac{1 - q^2 z_i/z_t}{1 - z_i/z_t} \xi_{j-1j} \cdots \xi_{i+1j} \frac{z_j/z_t}{1 - z_j/z_t} w(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_t, \dots, z_i, z_j, z_{i+1}, \dots, \hat{z}_j, \dots, z_N, z_t/p). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A14})$$

Repeating this argument, the sum of the first two terms is found to be

$$\prod_{i=t+1}^s \frac{1 - q^2 z_i/z_t}{1 - z_i/z_t} w(z_1, \dots, \hat{z}_t, \dots, z_N, z_t/p).$$

Applying the assumption of the induction to the last sum, we obtain the claim.

References

- [1] V. Ginzburg, M. Kapranov and E. Vasserot, Langlands reciprocity for algebraic surfaces, *Math. Res. Lett.* **2**, 147–160 (1995).
- [2] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, Shcyr duality in the toroidal setting, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **182**, 469–484 (1996).
- [3] I. V. Cherednik, Double affine Hecke algebras and Macdonald’s conjectures, *Annals Math.* **141**, 191–216 (1995).
- [4] Y. Saito, Quantum toroidal algebras and their vertex representations, *Publ. RIMS. Kyoto Univ.* **34**, 155–177 (1998).
- [5] K. Takemura and D. Ugolov, Representations of the quantum toroidal algebra on highest weight modules of the quantum affine algebra of type $gl(N)$, preprint math/9806134.

- [6] V. Chari and A. Pressley, Quantum affine algebras, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **142**, 261–283 (1991).
- [7] M. Varagnolo and E. Vasserot, Double-loop algebras and the Fock space, *Inv. Math.*, **133**, 133–159 (1998).
- [8] Y. Saito, K. Takemura and D. Ugolov, Toroidal actions on level 1 modules of $U_q(\widehat{sl_n})$, *Transformation Groups* **1**, 75–102 (1998).
- [9] M. Kashiwara, T. Miwa and E. Stern, Decomposition of q - deformed Fock spaces, *Selecta Mathematica*, New Series **1**, 787–805 (1995).
- [10] M. Jimbo, R. Kedem, H. Konno, T. Miwa and J.-U. H. Petersen, New level-0 action of $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ on level-1 modules, *Proceedings of 'Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory'*, USC, 16-21 May 1994.
- [11] D. Bernard, V. Pasquier and D. Serban, Spinons in conformal field theory, *Nucl. Phys.* **B428**, 612–628 (1994).
- [12] M. Jimbo, A q -analogue of $U(gl(N+1))$, Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **11**, 247–252 (1986).
- [13] G. Lusztig, Quantum deformations of certain simple modules over enveloping algebras, *Adv. Math.* **70**, 237–249 (1988).
- [14] J. Ding and S. Khoroshkin, Weyl group extensions of quantum current algebras, preprint math/9804139.
- [15] V. G. Drinfeld, New realizations of Yangian and quantum affine algebra, *Sov. Math. Doklady.* **36**, 212–216 (1988).
- [16] J. Ding and I. Frenkel, Isomorphism of two realizations of quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{gl_n})$, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **156**, 277–300 (1993).
- [17] M. Rosso, Finite dimensional representations of the quantum analog of the enveloping algebra of a complex simple Lie algebra, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **117**, 581–593 (1988).
- [18] S. M. Khoroshkin and V. N. Tolstoy, Twisting of quantum (super) algebras. Connection of Drinfeld's and Cartan–Weyl realizations for quantum affine algebras, preprint hep-th/9404036.
- [19] J. Ding and K. Iohara, Drinfeld comultiplication and vertex operators, *J. Geom. Phys.* **23**, 1–13 (1997).